

# Form A

## Faculty of Science -University of Ruhuna STUDENT FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE – THEORY COURSE UNITS

Department : .....

Course Unit : .....

Name of the Lecturer : .....

Please indicate your response by checking the appropriate box according to the following scale.

5. Strongly Agree   4. Agree   3. Average   2. Disagree   1. Strongly Disagree

- |    |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| a. | The Teaching aids were used effectively during the lecture.              | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| b. | The lecturer was punctual (start/stop lectures on time)                  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| c. | The lecture was conducted at an acceptable speed.                        | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| d. | The lectures were clear and easy to understand.                          | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| e. | The methods of teaching adopted were good.                               | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|    | (lectures, discussions, presentations, tutorials, case studies etc.)     |   |   |   |   |   |
| f. | The lecturer encouraged to ask questions by students and discussed them. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| g. | The classes were conducted as indicated in the time – table.             | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| h. | The lecturer was confident in teaching the subject.                      | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| i. | The lectures helped to improve knowledge.                                | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| j. | The course outline/syllabus given at the beginning was covered.          | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

**The overall grading of the course (circle the appropriate number):**

**Very good – 5   Good – 4   Satisfactory – 3   Poor – 2   Very poor -1**

**Any other comments :** .....

Use back side for the additional comments